

# Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors May Negatively Impact Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Outcomes:

## Findings from the Ontario Best Practices Research Initiative (OBRI)

Kangping Cui<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohammad Movahedi<sup>1</sup>, Claire Bombardier<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Bindee Kuriya<sup>1,4</sup> and OBRI Investigators

<sup>1</sup>Toronto General Hospital Research Institute, University Health Network, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine (DOM) and Institute for Health Policy, Management and Evaluation (HPME), University

<sup>4</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

## BACKGROUND

- Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is recognized as an important comorbidity for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA)<sup>1</sup>.
- RA disease activity is influenced by cardiovascular comorbidities.
- Higher comorbidity burden adversely affect RA treatment response<sup>2-3</sup>.
- The Ontario Best Practices Research Initiative (OBRI) includes a clinical registry of RA patients (OBRI-RA registry) followed in routine care in Ontario, Canada ([www.obri.ca](http://www.obri.ca)).

## OBJECTIVE

- To explore the effects of CVD and CVD risk factors (RF) on RA treatment outcomes.

## METHODS

- Study Design:** Retrospective cohort study
- Study Population:**
  - All patients enrolled with the OBRI-RA registry
  - English speaking adult patients with active RA
  - Has complete baseline data and at least 1 year of follow-up
- Data Collection:**
  - Data collection: January 2008 to January 2017.
  - Participating rheumatologists collect clinical data at baseline and every 6 months or at any visit.
  - Patients telephone interviews at baseline, every 3 months for the first 2 years, and then every 6 months.
- Exposure:** Patients were classified into mutually exclusive groups:
  - CVD:** myocardial infarction (MI), coronary artery disease (CAD), transient ischemic attacks (TIA), stroke, and peripheral arterial disease (PAD);
  - CVD RFs:** hypertension (HTN), dyslipidemia (DLD), diabetes mellitus (DM), or Current smoking;
  - No CVD/no CVD RFs.**
- Outcomes:** Disease Activity Score-28 (DAS28), Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI), Swollen Joint Count 28 (SJC28) and Health Assessment Questionnaire – Disability Index (HAQ-DI)
- Statistical Analysis:**
  - Multivariable linear regression analysis
  - Adjusting for clinical and demographic confounders
  - Multiple imputation n=20

## RESULTS

- The baseline characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**.
- 110 (5.4%) patients had CVD, 917 (45.1 %) had CVD RF.

## RESULTS

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the cohort.

Characteristics	No CVD/RF (N=917)	CVD (N=110)	CVD RF (N=1006)	P-value
<b>Socio-demographics</b>				
Age (yrs.), mean (SD)	53.0 (12.7)	66.6 (9.4)	60.8 (10.9)	<0.0001
Sex, n (%)				
Female	770 (84.0%)	55 (60.0%)	763 (75.8%)	<0.0001
Male	147 (16.0%)	44 (40.0%)	243 (24.2%)	
Education, n (%)				
High school or less	335 (36.5%)	66 (60.0%)	512 (50.9%)	<0.0001
Post-secondary	581 (63.4%)	44 (40.0%)	492 (48.9%)	
OHIP plus (private or ODB program), n (%) <sup>‡</sup>	684 (74.6%)	60 (54.5%)	666 (66.2%)	<0.0001
Urban residence, n (%)	756 (82.4%)	89 (80.9%)	818 (81.3%)	0.76
<b>Clinical Characteristics</b>				
RA duration (yrs.), mean (SD)	7.6 (8.8)	10.0 (11.5)	8.4 (9.8)	0.45
CRP (mg/l), mean (SD) <sup>‡</sup>	12.6 (21.4)	14.2 (20.5)	13.4 (21.5)	0.24
SJC-28 (range: 0-28), mean (SD)	5.4 (4.9)	5.9 (5.3)	5.7 (5.0)	0.40
DAS28, mean (SD)	4.2 (1.6)	4.6 (1.6)	4.4 (1.5)	<b>0.0004</b>
CDAI score, mean (SD)	19.9 (13.5)	22.0 (14.5)	21.4 (13.8)	<b>0.04</b>
HAQ score, mean (SD)	1.0 (0.8)	1.2 (0.8)	1.2 (0.7)	<0.0001
<b>Treatments</b>				
Prior use of biologics, n (%)	222 (24.2%)	28 (25.5%)	272 (27.0%)	0.37
On Biologics, n (%)	136 (14.8%)	16 (14.5%)	129 (12.8%)	0.43
On conventional DMARDs, n (%)	563 (61.4%)	68 (61.8%)	630 (62.6%)	0.86
On NSAIDs, n (%)	31 (3.4%)	3 (2.7%)	30 (3.0%)	0.85
On steroid, n (%)	178 (19.4%)	22 (20.0%)	200 (19.9%)	0.96
<b>Comorbidities</b>				
Depression, n (%)	230 (25.1%)	40 (36.4%)	313 (31.1%)	<b>0.003</b>
Lung disease, n (%)	141 (15.4%)	33 (30.0%)	216 (21.5%)	<0.0001
GI and liver disease, n (%)	173 (18.9%)	35 (31.8%)	265 (26.3%)	<0.0001
Cancer, n (%)	103 (11.2%)	29 (26.4%)	152 (15.1%)	<0.0001
Osteo- or degenerative arthritis, n (%)	339 (37.0%)	52 (47.3%)	468 (46.5%)	<0.0001

- CVD was associated with higher disease activity measured in DAS28 and worse functional status at baseline but not at 1-year (**Table 2-3**).
- CVD RF was associated with significantly higher DAS28 baseline and 1-year follow up.
- CVD RF became significantly associated with higher CDAI at 1 year.

## RESULTS

**Table 2.** Impact of CVD and RF on clinical outcomes at cohort entry.

CVD status	β - coefficient (95% CI); p-value			
	DAS28-ESR <sup>‡</sup> N=2033	CDAI <sup>‡</sup> N=2033	SJC-28 <sup>‡</sup> N=2033	HAQ-DI <sup>‡</sup> N=2033
No CVD / RFs	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
CVD	<b>0.29 (0.01,0.56), 0.04</b>	1.22 (-1.26,3.70), 0.34	0.09 (-0.86,1.04), 0.85	<b>0.15 (0.01,0.29), 0.04</b>
CVD RFs / No CVD	<b>0.13 (0.002,0.26), 0.04</b>	0.77 (-0.38,1.93), 0.19	-0.01 (-0.45,0.43), 0.96	<b>0.16 (0.10,0.23), &lt;0.0001</b>

<sup>‡</sup> adjusted for age, gender, education level, health insurance type, comorbidities, HAQ-pain score, concurrent treatments and CRP

**Table 3.** Impact of CVD and RF on clinical outcomes at 1-year follow up.

CVD status	β - coefficient (95% CI); p-value			
	DAS28-ESR <sup>‡</sup> N=1965	CDAI <sup>‡</sup> N=1965	SJC-28 <sup>‡</sup> N=1965	HAQ-DI <sup>‡</sup> N=1965
No CVD / RFs	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
CVD	0.12 (-0.14,0.38), 0.37	0.50 (-1.45,2.46), 0.61	0.44 (-0.21,1.10), 0.19	0.09 (-0.02,0.19), 0.10
CVD RFs / No CVD	<b>0.17 (0.05,0.30), 0.01</b>	<b>0.96 (0.05,1.87), 0.04</b>	0.29 (-0.01,0.60), 0.06	0.03 (-0.02,0.08), 0.17

<sup>‡</sup> adjusted for age, gender, education level, health insurance type, comorbidities, HAQ-pain score, concurrent treatments CRP, and baseline disease activity

## CONCLUSIONS

- CVD and traditional CVD RF independently predicted certain RA disease outcome at baseline and 1-year follow up
- Lack of significance in CVD may be secondary to limited sample size
- CVD and RFs may be perceived as a systemic marker for advanced RA.
- Patients with CVD RF maybe treatment-resistant
- Self-perceived impact of comorbidity may also be driving this relationship
- Investigation into the magnitude of effect for the individual CVD RFs, and whether differences in RA treatment patterns by CVD status may mediate this relationship is warranted.

## REFERENCES

- Avina-Zubieta, J. A., Thomas, J., Sadatsafavi, M., Lehman, A. J. & Lacaille, D. Risk of incident cardiovascular events in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 71, 1524–1529 (2012).
- Crepaldi, G. et al. Cardiovascular Comorbidities Relate More than Others with Disease Activity in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *PLoS One* 11, (2016).
- Ranganath, V. K. et al. Comorbidities are associated with poorer outcomes in community patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology*. 52, 1809-1817 (2013).

**Funding:** OBRI was funded by peer reviewed grants from CIHR (Canadian Institute for Health Research), Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC), Canadian Arthritis Network (CAN) and unrestricted grants from: Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene, Hospira, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, & UCB  
**Acknowledgment:** Dr. Bombardier holds a Canada Research Chair in Knowledge Transfer for Musculoskeletal Care and a Pfizer Research Chair in Rheumatology  
**Correspondence to:** OBRI at: [obri@uhnresearch.ca](mailto:obri@uhnresearch.ca)