Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Data from the Ontario Best Practices Research Initiative (OBRI)


Objective
The COVID-19 Pandemic created challenges for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), including accessing the health care system, transitioning to unplanned virtual care, reduction in physical activity, and management of disease activity. Our study examined the impact of the pandemic on RA patients reported outcomes (PROs), disease activity (DA) and medication profiles before and after the pandemic.

Method
The Ontario Best Practices Research Initiative (OBRI) is an observational cohort of adult patients with active RA. We defined two study periods of one-year duration each: (1) a pre-COVID-19 pandemic phase (12 months before March 15th, 2020) and (2) a COVID-19 pandemic phase (12 months after March 15th 2020). Patients enrolled in OBRI were included if they had at least one visit with a physician or interviewer (virtually) in both study periods. Baseline characteristics, disease activity (DA), as well as other PROs (i.e. Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index, Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index, EuroQoL-5 Dimension Questionnaire, and medication use/changes) were included. Paired two-sample t-test and McNamar’s test were performed for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

Results
We identified 1508 patients (mean age = 62.7, 79.3% female). Table 1 outline changes in disease activity measures and PROs, respectively. During the first year of the pandemic, the number of physician visits per patient increased by a mean of 0.21 visits (SD:1.51) (p < 0.0001). Despite the patient global and physician global assessments and composite DA scores being similar before and after the pandemic, swollen joint count (SJC) and tender joint counts (TJC) showed improvement during the pandemic (differences of -0.49 (3.04), p < 0.0001 and -0.40 (3.73), p < 0.003 respectively). Similar improvements were observed in PROs, such as RADAi (-0.17 (1.40), p = 0.002) and fatigue (-0.48 (2.42), p < 0.0001). There were also statistically significant changes in treatment during the pandemic with decreased biologic (32.6% vs 29.9%; p=0.002) and conventional synthetic DMARDs use (83.3% vs 79.5%; p< 0.0001), while prescription of targeted synthetic DMARDs increased during the pandemic (12.1% vs 15.4%; p< 0.0001).

Conclusion
Disease activity and treatments for RA changed during the pandemic. Improvements in PROs may be explained by changes in patients’ lifestyles, such as being able to work from home. Changes in medication profiles may relate to preferring oral agents or those with shorter half-life given the concern of immunosuppression. Future work is needed to determine if these changes persist and what implications they may have beyond the pandemic.